arXiv:1501.02319v1 [math-ph] 10 Jan 2015

Prepared for submission to JHEP

Two-Parameter Dynamics and Geometry

Zhi Hu,[a,b] **Mulin Yan,**[b,c] **Sen Hu,**[a,b]

[a] *School of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China*
Hefei, 230026, China
[b] *Wu Wen Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Science*
Hefei, 230026, China
[c] *School of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China*
Hefei, 230026, China

E-mail: halfask@mail.ustc.edu.cn, mlyan@ustc.edu.cn, shu@ustc.edu.cn

Abstract: In this paper we present the two-parameter dynamics which is implied by the law of inertia in flat spacetime. A remarkable perception is that $(A)dS_4$ geometry may emerge from the two-parameter dynamics, which exhibits some phenomenon of dynamics/geometry correspondence. We also discuss the Unruh effects within the context of two-parameter dynamics. In the last section we construct various invariant actions with respect to the broken symmetry groups.

Contents

1 $(A)dS_4$ Geometry from Two-Parameter Dynamics 1

2 Unruh Effects Within the Context of Two-Parameter Dynamics 4

3 Symmetry Breaking 7

1 $(A)dS_4$ Geometry from Two-Parameter Dynamics

We start with a triple $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta, B)$ for the Minkowski metric $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$ and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B. We take the following ansatz in terms of the Lorentz coordinates $\{x^0 = ct, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = A_0(x)\eta_{\mu\nu} + A_1(x)\frac{\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}(x^\alpha x^\beta)^{d_1}}{l_1^{2d_1}} + A_2(x)\frac{\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}(x^\alpha x^\beta)^{d_2}}{l_2^{2d_2}} + \cdots + A_n(x)\frac{\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}(x^\alpha x^\beta)^{d_n}}{l_n^{2d_n}}, \tag{1.1}$$

thus there are $n+1$ universal constants: $c, l_1, \cdots, l_n$, which will be all set up to 1 for convenience. Let us consider the following action[1]

$$S = \int \sqrt{B_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu} = \int dt\sqrt{B_{00} + 2B_{0i}v^i + B_{ij}v^i v^j}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $v^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}, i = 1,2,3$. Thereby the Lagrangian is given by

$$L(t, x^i, v^i) = \sqrt{-A_0 + \sum_I A_I t^{2d_I} - 2\sum_I A_I v^i (tx^i)^{d_I} + A_0 v\cdot v + \sum_I A_I (x^i x^j)^{d_I} v^i v^j}, \tag{1.3}$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equation reads

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} + v^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} + \frac{dv^j}{dt}\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j v^i}. \tag{1.4}$$

If a free particle of mass $m = 1$ is assumed to be subject to this action, namely the Euler-Lagrange equation implies the acceleration has to vanish for the free particle (i.e. the law of inertia), we should have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} + v^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i}, \\ \det(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j \partial v^i}) \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

We observe that the unique promise of (1.5) being satisfied may arrive when taking $n = 1, d_1 = 1$, then the first equation of (1.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\partial_i A_0 + \partial_i A_1 t^2 - 2\partial_i A_1 t\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \partial_i A_0 \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \partial_i A_1(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})^2 \\
=&-2\partial_t A_1 t x^i - 2A_1 x^i + 2\partial_t A_0 v^i + 2\partial_t A_1 x^i \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} - 2\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla A_1 t x^i + 2\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla A_0 v^i + 2\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla A_1 x^i \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + 2A_1 x^i \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} \\
&-\frac{(-\partial_t A_0 + 2A_1 t + \partial_t A_1 t^2 - 2A_1\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} - 2\partial_t A_1 t\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \partial_t A_0\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \partial_t A_1(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})^2)(-2A_1 t x^i + 2A_0 v^i + 2A_1 x^i \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})}{2(-A_0 + A_1 t^2 - 2A_1 t\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + A_0\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + A_1(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})^2)} \\
&-\frac{(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot(-\nabla A_0 + \nabla A_1 t^2 - 2\nabla A_1 t\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \nabla A_0\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + \nabla A_1(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})^2 - 2\boldsymbol{v}A_1 t + 2\boldsymbol{v}A_1\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}))(-2A_1 t x^i + 2A_0 v^i + 2A_1 x^i \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})}{2(-A_0 + A_1 t^2 - 2A_1 t\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + A_0\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} + A_1(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the following notations are employed

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{x} &= x^1\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \\
\boldsymbol{v} &= v^1\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + v^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + v^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \\
\nabla A_{0/1} &= \partial_1 A_{0/1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \partial_2 A_{0/1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \partial_3 A_{0/1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3},
\end{aligned}$$

and $\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_i x^i v^i$, $\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_i v^i v^i$, $\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla A_{0/1} = \sum_i x^i \partial_i A_{0/1}$.

Comparing the monomials of the both sides of the equality with the same type, we derive the following 1-order partial differential equations

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_i A_0 = 2A_1 x^i, \\
\partial_t A_0 = -2A_1 t, \\
\partial_i A_1 A_0 = 4A_1^2 x^i, \\
\partial_t A_1 A_0 = -4A_1^2 t,
\end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

thus

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_\mu A_0 = 2A_1 \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\nu, \\
\partial_\mu A_1 A_0 = 4A_1^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\nu.
\end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

Obviously, if A_0 or A_1 is constant, then A_1 must vanish and A_0 is constant, so everything essentially goes back to the classical theory when $B = \eta$ up to a positive constant. The non-trivial solutions are given by

$$A_0 = \frac{a}{b + \eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}, A_1 = -\frac{a}{(b + \eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^2}, \tag{1.8}$$

with two dimensionless constants a, b. To check the second condition in (1.5), we only need to show that under the limit $l_1 \to \infty$, which is straightforward calculated

$$\lim_{l_1\to\infty} \det(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j \partial v^i}) = -\frac{1}{|\frac{b}{a}(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} - 1)|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \neq 0.$$

Moreover if we assume that B has the same signature as η, then we has to require

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{00} = -\frac{a(b+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})}{(b-t^2+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})^2} < 0,\\
&\widetilde{B}_{11} = \frac{a(b+(x^2)^2+(x^3)^2)}{(b-t^2+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})(b+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})} > 0,\\
&\det\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{B}_{11} & \widetilde{B}_{12}\\ \widetilde{B}_{12} & \widetilde{B}_{22}\end{pmatrix} = \frac{a^2(b+(x^3)^2)}{(b-t^2+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})^2(b+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})} > 0,\\
&\det\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{B}_{11} & \widetilde{B}_{12} & \widetilde{B}_{13}\\ \widetilde{B}_{12} & \widetilde{B}_{22} & \widetilde{B}_{23}\\ \widetilde{B}_{13} & \widetilde{B}_{23} & \widetilde{B}_{33}\end{pmatrix} = \frac{a^3 b}{(b-t^2+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})^3(b+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{B}_{ij} = B_{ij} - \frac{B_{0i}B_{0j}}{B_{00}}$, namely the following conditions should be imposed

$$a > 0, b > 0, \text{ and } b - t^2 + \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x} > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

or

$$a < 0, b < 0, b + \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x} < 0. \tag{1.10}$$

For example, let $a = b = 1$, and let the *short distance approximation* $\frac{x^i}{l_1} \ll 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be adopted, then the action (1.2) for a free particle is simplified to be integrated out

$$\begin{aligned}
S =& \int \sqrt{|B_{00} + (v^1)^2 B_{11} + (v^2)^2 B_{22} + (v^3)^2 B_{33}|}dt\\
=& \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1-t^2)^2} - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}}{1-t^2}}dt\\
=& \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}(1-t^2)}+t}{\sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}(1-t^2)}-t} - \sqrt{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}}\ln[\sqrt{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}}t + \sqrt{1-\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}(1-t^2)}].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

A remarkable perception is that $(A)dS_4$ geometry may emerge from the two-parameter dynamics of free particle in flat spacetime. This picture exhibits some phenomenon of *dynamics/geometry correspondence*. $(A)dS_4$ is defined by a hypersurface in 5-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^5$ with the Minkowski metric $\eta^{(5)} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1,1)$ (or the metric $\widetilde{\eta}^{(5)} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,1,1,1)$ with signature 1) via the following equation[2]

$$-T^2 + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + bW^2 = 1 (b > 0),$$

or

$$-T^2 - bW^2 + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = -1 (b > 0, T < 1).$$

Define the following coordinates which cover the half domain $\{W > 0\}$ or $\{W < 0\}$ in $(A)dS_4$

$$x^0 = \frac{T}{W}, x^1 = \frac{X}{W}, x^2 = \frac{Y}{W}, x^3 = \frac{Z}{W}, \tag{1.12}$$

Then the induced metric on this hypersurface is given by in terms of the coordinate system $\{x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{b\eta_{\mu\nu}}{b + \eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta} - \frac{b\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta}{(b + \eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta)^2}, \tag{1.13}$$

or

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{b\eta_{\mu\nu}}{b - \eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta} + \frac{b\eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta}{(b - \eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta)^2}, \tag{1.14}$$

which exactly coincides with our quadratic form B with condition (1.9) or (1.10) up to an insignificant constant conformal factor.

From this viewpoint, we immediately conclude that the coordinate transformations preserve B form the group $O(1,4)$ or $O(2,3)$ which contains Lorentz group $O(1,3)$ as a subgroup preserving the pair (η, B), thus preserving the inertial motion. By decomposing a matrix belogs to the group $O(1,4)$ or $O(2,3)$ as

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} N & P \\ \mp\frac{P^T\eta N}{\sqrt{1\mp\eta_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}} & \sqrt{1 \mp \eta_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu} \end{pmatrix},$$

with matrices $N = (N_{\mu\nu})$ and $P = (P^0, P^1, P^2, P^3)^T$ satisfing the relation

$$N^T\eta N = \eta + \frac{N^T\eta PP^T\eta N}{\mp 1 + \eta_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\mp$ correspond $O(1,4)$ and $O(2,3)$ respectively, and λ is fixed to 1 or -1, we can explicitly determine these coordinate transformations as fractional linear transformations[3, 4]

$$x^\mu \mapsto \frac{N_{\mu\nu}x^\nu + \sqrt{b}P^\mu}{\mp\frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}N_{\beta\gamma}P^\alpha x^\gamma}{\sqrt{1\mp\eta_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}} + \sqrt{b}\sqrt{1 \mp \eta_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}}, \tag{1.16}$$

which come back to Poincáre transformations when the parameter l_1 tends to infinity. Since the action (2) is invariant under these transformations, there are corresponding conserved charges for a free particle, which can be given via Norther method. Non-trivial charges would reflect the dynamical (not geometrical) symmetries.

2 Unruh Effects Within the Context of Two-Parameter Dynamics

A Klein-Gordon-type equation that governs scalar field Φ with mass m under the context of two-parameters dynamics is presented as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det(B_{\mu\nu})|}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|\det(B_{\mu\nu})|}B^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Phi) - (m^2 + \frac{\xi}{l_1^2})\Phi = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for a dimensionless constant ξ, which is invariant under the transformations (1.16). Here the quadratic form B is taking the form in (1.13) with $b = 1$, and $(B^{\mu\nu}) = (\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} - x^\mu x^\nu}{1 - t^2 + \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}})$ is the inverse of B. Assume that the scalar field is distributed on a small spatial domain, thus the short distance approximation is valid. Changing variables as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}^0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{1+t}{1-t}, \\ \tilde{x}^i = x^i, i = 1,2,3, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

the equation (2.1) reduces to

$$(\eta^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\partial}_\mu\tilde{\partial}_\nu + \tilde{\partial}_0 \ln\sigma(\tilde{x}_0)\tilde{\partial}_0 - \frac{m^2+\xi}{\sigma(\tilde{x}^0)})\Phi = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\sigma(\tilde{x}_0) = 1 - (\frac{e^{2\tilde{x}_0}-1}{e^{2\tilde{x}_0}+1})^2. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking ansatz $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\tilde{x}^0)e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$, we obtain an ordinary differential equation satisfied by the coefficient $\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

$$\ddot{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{k}} + F(\tilde{x}^0)\dot{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{k}} + G(\tilde{x}^0)\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{x}^0) &= 2\sqrt{1-\sigma(\tilde{x}_0)} = 2\frac{e^{2\tilde{x}^0}-1}{e^{2\tilde{x}^0}+1}, \\ G(\tilde{x}^0) &= \frac{m^2+\xi}{\sigma(\tilde{x}^0)} + \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}. \end{aligned}$$

We may introduce a family of solutions $\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = e^{i\frac{s(\tilde{x}^0)}{\lambda}}$ with a parameter λ, and expand the function s in terms of λ as $s = s_0 + i\lambda s_1 + (i\lambda)^2 s_2 + \cdots$. By considering the power of λ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{ds_0}{d\tilde{x}^0})^2 &= w^2(\tilde{x}^0), \\ \frac{d^2 s_0}{d(\tilde{x}^0)^2} - 2\frac{ds_0}{d\tilde{x}^0}\frac{ds_1}{d\tilde{x}^0} + F(\tilde{x}^0)\frac{ds_0}{d\tilde{x}^0} &= 0, \\ \frac{d^2 s_1}{d(\tilde{x}^0)^2} - (\frac{ds_1}{d\tilde{x}^0})^2 - 2\frac{ds_0}{d\tilde{x}^0}\frac{ds_2}{d\tilde{x}^0} + F(\tilde{x}^0)\frac{ds_1}{d\tilde{x}^0} &= 0, \\ &\cdots\cdots \end{aligned}$$

From these recurrence relations we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \ln\sqrt{w} + \int \frac{F(\tilde{x}^0)}{2}d\tilde{x}^0, \\ s_2 &= \frac{1}{4w^2}\frac{dw}{d\tilde{x}^0} + \frac{1}{8}\int d\tilde{x}^0[\frac{1}{w^3}(\frac{dw}{d\tilde{x}^0})^2 + \frac{F^2}{w} + \frac{2}{w}\frac{dF}{d\tilde{x}^0}], \\ &\cdots\cdots \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the first order solution

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}e^{-\int\frac{F(\tilde{x}^0)}{2}d\tilde{x}^0}e^{\pm i\int w(\tilde{x}^0)d\tilde{x}^0} \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\tilde{x}^0}{l}}}{1+e^{\frac{2\tilde{x}^0}{l}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}e^{\pm i\int w(\tilde{x}^0)d\tilde{x}^0} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

into (2.5) gives rise to the equation controls w

$$w^2 = G(\tilde{x}^0) - \frac{1}{2}\{\int w d\tilde{x}^0; \tilde{x}^0\}_S - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dF}{d\tilde{x}^0} - \frac{F^2}{4},$$

where $\{;\}_S$ denotes the Schwartz derivative defined by

$$\{\int w d\tilde{x}^0; \tilde{x}^0\}_S = \frac{1}{w}\frac{d^2 w}{d(\tilde{x}^0)^2} - \frac{3}{2w^2}(\frac{dw}{d\tilde{x}^0})^2.$$

The lowest order approximation is given by

$$w^{(0)} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{(m^2+\xi)(e^{2\tilde{x}^0}+1)^2}{4e^{2\tilde{x}^0}} + \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k} - 1}, \tag{2.7}$$

and then by iteration we can get other higher order approximate solutions. In particular, when $m = \xi = 0$ we have the exact solution

$$\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{e^{\tilde{x}^0}}{1+e^{2\tilde{x}^0}}(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{\pm i\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}-1}\tilde{x}^0}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us consider a detector that is in the ground state with energy E_0 at initial time. If it detects a particle, it will transit to an excited state with energy $E > E_0$ and meanwhile the field Φ will transit from the vacuum $|0\rangle$ to a certain excited state $|T\rangle$. Roughly speaking, the transition amplitude $\mathbb{A}$ in this process can be calculated as[5]

$$\mathbb{A} \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle T|\Phi(x)|0\rangle e^{iS[x](E-E_0)} dS \tag{2.9}$$

where x denotes the worldline of the detector and $S[x]$ stands for the action of the detector. The field Φ can be expanded in terms of excited modes as

$$\Phi = \int d^3\boldsymbol{k} a_{\boldsymbol{k}}\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \int d^3\boldsymbol{k} a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}}\psi^*_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \int d^3\boldsymbol{k} a_{\boldsymbol{k}} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \int d^3\boldsymbol{k} a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\chi^*_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $a_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are viewed respectively as annihilation and creation operators after quantization. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{A} \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\chi^*_{\boldsymbol{k}} e^{iS(E-E_0)} dS, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}$ is the 3-momentum of the final state $|T\rangle$. Suppose the detector uniformly moves in a constant speed $\boldsymbol{v}$, namely its action S is given by (1.2). In particular, for a static detector we have $S \sim \widetilde{x}^0$(see (1.11)), hence

$$\mathbb{A} \sim (\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\tilde{x}^0}}{1+e^{2\tilde{x}^0}} e^{i\tilde{x}^0(E-E_0\pm\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}-1})} d\tilde{x}^0, \tag{2.12}$$

thus the Fourier transformation of the function $\frac{e^{\tilde{x}^0}}{1+e^{2\tilde{x}^0}}$. Consequently, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{A} \sim \frac{\pi(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}(E-E_0\pm\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}-1})} + e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(E-E_0\pm\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}-1})}}. \tag{2.13}$$

However, we cannot take the minus sign in (2.13) because the probability amplitude of detecting a particle with energy $E_p = \sqrt{(E-E_0)^2+1}$ is given by $\mathbb{A} \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\tilde{x}^0}}{1+e^{2\tilde{x}^0}} d\tilde{x}^0 = \pi$, which is not acceptable physically since it tends to infinity when the parameter l_1 tends to infinity. For the plus sign it is easy to see $\lim_{l_1 \to +\infty} \mathbb{A} \to 0$ due to the energy of excited state being higher than that of ground state.

3 Symmetry Breaking

The Lie bracket among the basis $\{M_{AB} = -M_{BA}, A, B = 0, \cdots, 4\}$ of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(1,4)$ is given by

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = \overset{(5)}{\eta}_{AD} M_{BC} + \overset{(5)}{\eta}_{BC} M_{AD} - \overset{(5)}{\eta}_{AC} M_{BD} - \overset{(5)}{\eta}_{BD} M_{AC}.$$

Let $J_\mu = \frac{M_{\mu 4}}{l_1}, \mu = 0, \cdots, 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [J_\mu, J_\nu] &= -\frac{M_{\mu\nu}}{l_1^2}, \\ [J_\mu, M_{\alpha\beta}] &= \eta_{\mu\alpha} J_\beta - \eta_{\mu\beta} J_\alpha, \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\alpha\beta}] &= \eta_{\mu\beta} M_{\nu\alpha} + \eta_{\nu\alpha} M_{\mu\beta} - \eta_{\mu\alpha} M_{\nu\beta} - \eta_{\nu\beta} M_{\mu\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

These relations can be realized via the following differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu &= \partial_\mu + \frac{\eta_{\mu\alpha} x^\alpha x^\nu \partial_\nu}{l_1^2}, \\ M_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\alpha} x^\alpha \partial_\nu - \eta_{\nu\alpha} x^\alpha \partial_\mu = \eta_{\mu\alpha} x^\alpha J_\nu - \eta_{\nu\alpha} x^\alpha J_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the following symbols

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M_{0i} \pm M_{1i}), \mathfrak{i} = 2, 3 \\ F_i^\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{M_{0i}}{l_1} \pm J_i), i = 1, 2, 3, \\ L_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk} M_{jk}, i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ P^\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(J_0 \pm J_1), R = M_{01}, T = M_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

The maximal Lie subalgebras of rank 7 in $\mathfrak{o}(1,4)$ are exhibited in the following list

	Generators	Algebraic Relations
Type I	$\{K_1^\pm, K_2^\pm, J_2, J_3, P^\pm, R, T\}$	$[K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, K_{\mathfrak{j}}^\pm] = 0, [J_{\mathfrak{i}}, J_{\mathfrak{j}}] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} \frac{T}{l_1^2}, [K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, J_{\mathfrak{j}}] = \delta_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} P^\pm,$ $[K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, P^\pm] = 0, [K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, R] = -K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, [K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm, T] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} K_{\mathfrak{j}}^\pm,$ $[J_{\mathfrak{i}}, P^\pm] = \frac{K_{\mathfrak{i}}^\pm}{l_1^2}, [J_{\mathfrak{i}}, R] = 0, [J_{\mathfrak{i}}, T] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} J_j.$ $[P^\pm, R] = \mp P^\pm, [P^\pm, T] = 0, , [R, T] = 0,$
Type II	$\{F_1^\pm, F_2^\pm, F_3^\pm, L_1, L_2, L_3, J_0\}$	$[F_i^\pm, F_j^\pm] = 0, [L_i, L_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} L_k, [F_i^\pm, L_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} F_k^\pm,$ $[F_i^\pm, J_0] = \pm\frac{F_i^\pm}{l_1^2}, [L_i, J_0] = 0.$

The little groups in $O(1,4)$ corresponding to these two types of Lie subalgebras are denoted by $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ respectively, which exactly coincide with the groups $ISIM(2)$[1]and $O(3) \ltimes \mathbb{T}$ ($\mathbb{T}$ denoting the 4-dimensional translation group) respectively when the parameter l_1 tends to infinity. Some subgroups of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ are listed as follows:

	Subgroups	Generators of Lie Algebras
$\mathcal{G}_1$	$\mathcal{H}_1$	$\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, P\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_2$	$\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, P, R\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_3$	$\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, P, T\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_4$	$\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, P, R, T\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_5$	$\{P, R, T\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_6$	$\{J_2, J_3, T\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_7$	$\{J_2, J_3, R, T\}$
	$\mathcal{H}_8$	$\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, P, J_2, J_3, T\}$
$\mathcal{G}_2$	$\mathcal{K}_1$	$\{F_1^{\pm}, F_2^{\pm}, F_3^{\pm}\}$
	$\mathcal{K}_2$	$\{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$
	$\mathcal{K}_3$	$\{F_1^{\pm}, F_2^{\pm}, F_3^{\pm}, J_0\}$
	$\mathcal{K}_4$	$\{L_1, L_2, L_3, J_0\}$
	$\mathcal{K}_5$	$\{F_1^{\pm}, F_2^{\pm}, F_3^{\pm}, L_1, L_2, L_3\}$

To construct an action whose symmetry group breaks into the little group $\mathcal{G}_1$ or $\mathcal{G}_2$ or one of their subgroups, we need find some invariant tensors under these groups.

Example 1. For the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_1$ or $\mathcal{H}_3$, by taking the following matrix representations of generators

$$K_2^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_3^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P^+ = \frac{1}{l_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we find a second-order non-degenerate symmetric invariant tensor with respect to $\mathcal{H}_1$ or $\mathcal{H}_3$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{b} & 2\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

---

[1] In some literatures[6], $ISIM(2)$ means an 8-dimensional maximal subgroup of the Poincaré group generated by $\{K_1^{\pm}, K_2^{\pm}, J_1, J_2, P^+, P^-, R, T\}$.

with two constants $\mathsf{a}\neq\mathsf{b}$, thus a quadratic form

$$C=\mathsf{a}dT^2+2\mathsf{b}dTdX+(2\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})dX^2+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})dY^2+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})dZ^2+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})dW^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Then the coordinate transformations (1.12) give rises to an induced quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned}C=&C_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu\\=&\frac{1}{(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^2}\{[\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}+x^0x^1)^2}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}-(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})(1+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})](dx^0)^2\\&+2[\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}+x^0x^1)(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^1)^2-x^0x^1)}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^0x^1]dx^0dx^1\\&+2[-\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}+x^0x^1)(x^0x^2+x^1x^2)}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^0x^2]dx^0dx^2\\&+2[-\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}+x^0x^1)(x^0x^3+x^1x^3)}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^0x^3]dx^0dx^3\\&+[\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^1)^2-x^0x^1)^2}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^1)^2)](dx^1)^2\\&+2[-\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^1)^2-x^0x^1)(x^0x^2+x^1x^2)}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}-(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^1x^2]dx^1dx^2\\&+2[-\mathsf{b}\frac{(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^1)^2-x^0x^1)(x^0x^3+x^1x^3)}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}-(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^1x^3]dx^1dx^3\\&+[\mathsf{b}\frac{(x^0x^2+x^1x^2)^2}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^2)^2)](dx^2)^2\\&+2[\mathsf{b}\frac{x^2x^3(x^0+x^1)^2}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}-(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})x^2x^3]dx^2dx^3\\&+[\mathsf{b}\frac{(x^0x^3+x^1x^3)^2}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu}+(\mathsf{b}-\mathsf{a})(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu-(x^3)^2)](dx^3)^2\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence the invariant action can be chosen as

$$S=\int\sqrt{C_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu}. \tag{3.4}$$

2. Similarly for the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_1$, we also have a second-order anti-symmetric tensor

$$D=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&\mathsf{a}&\mathsf{b}&\mathsf{c}\\0&0&\mathsf{a}&\mathsf{b}&\mathsf{c}\\-\mathsf{a}&-\mathsf{a}&0&0&0\\-\mathsf{b}&-\mathsf{b}&0&0&0\\-\mathsf{c}&-\mathsf{c}&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} \tag{3.5}$$

with three constants $\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}$, thus a 2-form

$$D=\mathsf{a}(dT+dX)\wedge dY+\mathsf{b}(dT+dX)\wedge dZ+\mathsf{c}(dT+dX)\wedge dW. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore the following Yang-Mills-type action is $\mathcal{H}_1$-invariant

$$S=\int d^4xD_{\mu\nu}D_{\alpha\beta}B^{\mu\alpha}B^{\nu\beta}, \tag{3.7}$$

where the induced 2-form D is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
D =& \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \\
=& \frac{1}{(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^2} [-(x^0+x^1)(\mathsf{a}x^2+\mathsf{b}x^3+\mathsf{c})dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \\
& + (\mathsf{a}(1+(x^1)^2+(x^3)^2+x^0x^1) - \mathsf{b}x^2x^3 - \mathsf{c}x^2)dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \\
& + (\mathsf{b}(1+(x^1)^2+(x^2)^2+x^0x^1) - \mathsf{a}x^2x^3 - \mathsf{c}x^3)dx^0 \wedge dx^3 \\
& + (\mathsf{a}(1-(x^0)^2+(x^3)^2-x^0x^1) - \mathsf{b}x^2x^3 - \mathsf{c}x^2)dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\
& + (\mathsf{b}(1-(x^0)^2+(x^2)^2-x^0x^1) - \mathsf{a}x^2x^3 - \mathsf{c}x^3)dx^1 \wedge dx^3 \\
& + (x^0+x^1)(\mathsf{a}x^3-\mathsf{b}x^2)dx^2 \wedge dx^3],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which can be viewed as the strength of the field

$$\begin{aligned}
U = U_\mu dx^\mu =& \frac{x^0+x^1}{1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu} [x^0(\mathsf{a}x^2+\mathsf{b}x^3+\mathsf{c})dx^0 - x^1(\mathsf{a}x^2+\mathsf{b}x^3+\mathsf{c})dx^1 \\
& + (\mathsf{a}(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu) - x^2(\mathsf{a}x^2+\mathsf{b}x^3+\mathsf{c}))dx^2 \\
& + (\mathsf{a}(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu) - x^3(\mathsf{a}x^2+\mathsf{b}x^3+\mathsf{c}))dx^3].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Alterative choice is taking a Born-Infeld-type action[7]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|\det(B - DB^{-1}D)|}. \tag{3.10}$$

3. For the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_1$ whose generators are explicitly expressed as

$$F_1^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F_2^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F_3^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

there is an invariant vector

$$V = (\mathsf{a}, 0, 0, 0, -\mathsf{a})^T \tag{3.11}$$

with a constant a. Therefore we can consider a *Finsler-type action*[6, 8]

$$S = \int (B_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} (V_\mu dx^\mu)^\delta \tag{3.12}$$

with a constant $\delta \neq 0, 1$, thus the corresponding Lagrangian is given by

$$L(t, x^i, v^i) = (B_{00} + 2B_{0i}v^i + B_{ij}v^i v^j)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} (V_0 + V_i v^i)^\delta, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} V_0 &= \mathsf{a}(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(1 + \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{x} - x^0), \\ V_i &= \mathsf{a}(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^i(1-x^0), i = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

The pair (B,V) is preserved by $\mathcal{K}_1$.

4. Since there are no new invariant tensors for the group $\mathcal{G}_1$ or $\mathcal{G}_2$, any local term appears in the Lagrangian enjoys the full symmetry group $O(1,4)$, and hence the symmetry breaking effects are necessarily nonlocal[9]. For the vectors $W=(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{a},0,0,0)^T$ and V, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathsf{i}}^{+}W = J_{\mathsf{i}}W = TW = PW = 0, RW = W,\\ F_i^{+}V = J_iV = 0, J_0V = -V, \end{aligned}$$

namely the group $\mathcal{G}_1$ or $\mathcal{G}_2$ preserves the direction of the vector W or V. Then we can write the following equation contains a nonlocal term for the scalar field Φ with mass m

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det B|}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{|\det B|}B^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\nu + m^2\frac{W_\nu}{B^{\alpha\beta}W_\alpha\partial_\beta}\right)\Phi\right) = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W = W_\mu dx^\mu = \mathsf{a}(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)^{-\frac{3}{2}}[(1+\eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu)d(x^0+x^1)\\ + (x^0+x^1)(x^0dx^0 - x^1dx^1 - x^2dx^2 - x^3dx^3)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

References

[1] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1987).

[2] G.F.R. Ellis, S.W. Hawking, The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973).

[3] H. Guo, C. Huang, Z. Xu, B. Zhou, On Beltrami Model of de-Sitter Spacetime, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 19, 1701 (2004).

[4] M. Yan, N. Xiao, W. Huang, S. Li, Hamiltonian Formalism of de-Sitter Invariant Special Relativity, Commun. Theor. Phys. 48, 27 (2005).

[5] N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).

[6] G. W. Gibbons, J. Gomis, C. N. Pope, General Very Special Relativity is Finsler Geometry, Phys. Rev. D 76, 081701 (2007).

[7] A. A. Tseytlin, On Non-abelian Generalization of Born-Infeld Action in String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 41 (1997).

[8] A. P. Kouretsis, M. Stathakopoulos, P. C. Stavrinos, The General Very Special Relativity in Finsler Cosmology, Phys. Rev. D79, 104011 (2009).

[9] E. Alvarez, R. Vidal, Very Special (de-Sitter) Relativity, Phys. Rev. D77, 127702 (2008).